

Planning & Environment

Planning Team Report

Permit the subdivision of Lot 3 DP1074706 to create 6 residential lots plus 1 residue					
Proposal Title :	Permit the subdivision of Lo	t 3 DP1074706 to create 6 re	sidential lots plus 1 residue		
Proposal Summar	i to portific dit	Use Schedule 1 to permit the subdivision of Lot 3, DP 1074706 to create 6 residential lots and 1 residue on E4 Environmental Living zoned land.			
PP Number :	PP_2016_QPREG_002_00	Dop File No :	16/01230-1		
Proposal Details	The second second		The second second second		
Date Planning Proposal Received	08-Jul-2016 1 :	LGA covered :	Queanbeyan-Palerang Regic		
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Queanbeyan-Palerang Regional		
State Electorate :	MONARO	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy		in and protocol		
Location Details			an period of second		
Street : G	Soolabri Drive	son of Langevillements of	no otani de la setta de la setta de la setta		
Suburb : S	Sutton City :		Postcode : 2620		
Land Parcel : L	ot 3, DP 1074706				
DoP Planning Of	ficer Contact Details		handur a huisten o		
Contact Name :	Meredith McIntyre		and the exception and		
Contact Number :	0262297912		od Sugar a magnete		
Contact Email :	meredith.mcintyre@planning.ns	sw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Det		and the second second second second	A STATE TO A LOCATE		
Contact Name :	Sue Robb				
Contact Number :	0262388111				
Contact Email :	sue.robb@qprc.nsw.gov.au				
	ager Contact Details		Sector in the second		
Contact Name :	Deanne Frankel				
Contact Number :	0242249468				
Contact Email :	deanne.frankel@planning.nsw.g		1.1 October 1. 480		
Land Release Dat		urau ki ma kana	WART THE WARTS LODGE		
Growth Centre :	N/A		and sent to specify the set		
Regional / Sub		Release Area Name :	N/A		
Regional Strategy :	Sydney-Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes		

03 Aug 2016 12:44 pm

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	6
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The p <mark>r</mark> oposal seeks t 7 lots.	o amend Schedule 1 to permit the su	bdivision of the subject land into
		oned E4 Environmental Living and is	
	lots using lot averag subdivided as a "res contains a golf cours course and resort or	and was part of a 60 lot staged devel ing provisions in the Yarrowlumla LE ulting" lot, on which the LEP does no se and accommodation. The current in a single separate lot.	ot allow any further subdivision. It proposal is to retain the golf
	The subject land is located in the centre of an existing large rural living area and the creation of an additional 6 residential lots is not considered to be out of character or unreasonable.		
dequacy Assessme	ent		
Statement of the c	objectives - s55(2)(a)	
Is a statement of the	objectives provided? Ye	s	
Comment :	Amend Schedule and one residue the Palerang LE	e 1 to permit the subdivision of Lot 3 lot that are subject to the existing E4 2 2014.	DP 107406 to create 6 residential I Environmental Living provisions
Explanation of pr	ovisions provided -	s55(2)(b)	
	provisions provided? Ye		

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS:

protection zone.

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

1.5 RURAL LANDS: Council has not identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does apply as it will affect land within an existing environmental

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

2.1 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION ZONES: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an environment protection zone or land otherwise identified for environment protection purposes. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it affects items, places, buildings, works, relics, moveable objects or precincts of environmental heritage significance.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

2.4 RECREATION VEHICLE AREAS: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it does not relate to the development of a recreation vehicle area.

3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed residential zone or any other zone in which significant residential development is permitted or proposed to be permitted. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

3.2 CARAVAN PARKS AND MANUFACTURED HOME ESTATES: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it does not affect land or provisions relating to caravan parks or manufactured home estates.

3.3 HOME OCCUPATIONS: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it does not affect land or provisions relating to the permissibility of home occupations in dwelling houses.

4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect/is in close proximity to land mapped as bushfire prone land.

RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that the requirements of the Direction have been met. A condition should be included in the Gateway determination that consultation should be undertaken with the Rural Fire Service in accordance with the Direction. Permit the subdivision of Lot 3 DP1074706 to create 6 residential lots plus 1 residue 5.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL STRATEGIES: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as the Sydney-Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy applies to the land. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction. 6.1 APPROVAL AND REFERRAL REQUIREMENTS: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it does not include provisions that require the concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications to the Minister or a public authority. 6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it does not create/alter/reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes. 6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: Council has not identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does apply as it allows a particular development to be carried out. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes The mapping is suitable for exhibition however appropriate technical maps will need to Comment : be produced prior to notification in accordance with the Department's Technical Guidelines. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes The planning proposal recommends that consultation be undertaken with Office of Comment : Environment and Heritage and the Rural Fire Service as well as the community. It recommends community consultation of 28 days. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : **Proposal Assessment Principal LEP:** Due Date : Palerang LEP 2014 Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

Need for planning proposal :	The subject land falls within the m Palerang LEP 2014 (as a conseque Therefore, it cannot be further sub potential to create approximately not a resulting lot.	ence of its creation under odivided, despite it having	r the Yarrowlumla LEP 1993). g an area of 94.5ha and the	
ج	The land is already zoned E4 Envi 6ha but no less than 2ha. The only allow the subdivision of the land t	way to extinguish the pr	e average minimum lot size of ovisions of clause 4.1B(6) is to	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Council does not have a local stra rezone the subject land as it is alro subdivision.			
	Council has identified that the pro Regional Strategy.	posal is consistent with t	he Sydney-Canberra Corridor	
Environmental social economic impacts :	A flora and fauna assessment was vegetation on the site is not consi subdivision will not adversely affe recommending that the planning p Heritage for review.	dered to be significant. It ct the vegetation on the s	found that the proposed site, however Council is	
	An Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment was undertaken for the proposal and it found that no existing sites will be affected by the proposal and any archaeological potential is very low. Council is also recommending that the planning proposal be referred to the Office of Environment and Heritage for review.			
			roposal be referred to the	
		e for review. identified as bushfire pro ral Fire Service however	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was	
ssessment Proces	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro ral Fire Service however	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was	
ssessment Proces	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro ral Fire Service however	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was	
	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro ral Fire Service however	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was	
Proposal type : Fimeframe to make	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements. Routine	e for review. identified as bushfire pro- ral Fire Service however a I and it found that the dev community Consultation leriod :	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements. Routine	e for review. identified as bushfire pro ral Fire Service however : I and it found that the dev community Consultation eriod : belegation :	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with 28 Days RPA	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements. Routine C 12 months C Office of Environment and Heritag NSW Rural Fire Service	e for review. identified as bushfire pro ral Fire Service however : I and it found that the dev community Consultation eriod : belegation :	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with 28 Days RPA	
Proposal type : Fimeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro- ral Fire Service however - I and it found that the dev community Consultation reriod : Pelegation : e	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with 28 Days RPA	
Proposal type : Fimeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) s Public Hearing by the	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro- ral Fire Service however - I and it found that the dev community Consultation reriod : Pelegation : e	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with 28 Days RPA	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) s Public Hearing by the 2)(a) Should the matter	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro- ral Fire Service however - I and it found that the dev community Consultation reriod : Pelegation : e	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with 28 Days RPA	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the (2)(a) Should the matter	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro- ral Fire Service however : I and it found that the dev community Consultation reriod : Pelegation : e	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with 28 Days RPA	
ssessment Process Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Should the matter (2)(a) Should the matter f no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b)	Office of Environment and Heritag The majority of the subject land is need to be referred to the NSW Ru prepared for the planning proposa bushfire requirements.	e for review. identified as bushfire pro- ral Fire Service however - I and it found that the dev community Consultation reriod : Pelegation : e	one. The planning proposal will a Bushfire report was velopment can comply with 28 Days RPA	

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
FINAL Planning Proposal for Lot 3 DP 1074706 - Amend	Proposal	Yes
Sch1 to permit subdivision of 7 lots.pdf Council meeting minutes 3 December 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Appendix A Water Supply.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Appendix B Effluent Disposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Appendix C Aboriginal Cultural Heritage.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Appendix D Flora and Fauna.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Appendix E Bushfire.pdf	Proposal	Yes
QPRC Submission of Planning Proposal for Gateway.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
	3.3 Home Occupations
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Additional Information :	It is RECOMMENDED that the Acting Director Regions, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Palerang LEP 2014 to permit the subdivision of Lot 3 DP 1074706 into 6 residential lots and 1 residue lot should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans (Planning and Infrastructure, 2013)'.
	2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	 * NSW Rural Fire Service (s117 Direction 4.4) * Office of Environment and Heritage

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal. 3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination. 5. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. 6. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that: (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Directions 1.5 Rural Lands, 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 3.1 Residential Zones, 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies, 6.3 Site Specific Provisions. (b) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, when Council has consulted with the Rural Fire Service prior to undertaking community consultation; (c) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance; and (d) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form. 7. The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs. Supporting Reasons :

Signature:

Printed Name:

Date:

